

WITNESS PANEL: SYSTEM OUTSIDERS

1                   (Hearing resumed.)  
2                   The following proceedings were had and taken:  
3                   MS. ROBINSON: Good afternoon. I'm Laurie  
4                   Robinson, and I want to welcome all of you to this  
5                   afternoon's hearing. With me on the afternoon panel  
6                   here are Senator Gloria Romero and former U. S.  
7                   Attorney Saul Green. And I want to welcome our panel  
8                   this afternoon, Alan Elsner, Margaret Winter and

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
Barbara Owen.

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

As Judge Sessions stated at the beginning of the last panel, this outsider panel will be exploring with our witnesses some of the ways that outside organizations and individuals learned about what happens inside of our prisons and jails. We're interested in what kind of obstacles there are to finding out this information and what can be done to make it easier for people to understand the challenges confronting corrections officers and inmates.

We'll also get these experts' views on the nature and prevalence of abuses and safety failures in our correctional facilities. Jails and prisons, as we know, are public institutions, and as public institutions there is a need for those on the outside to fully appreciate what happens inside.

For most of the 13 and a half million people who

163

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

are incarcerated every year and the 750,000 employees who work in these places, their stories are rarely heard by the American people. For the public to gain an insight into this system, we depend on people like the witnesses we had before us this afternoon. These witnesses and others try to answer the questions that administrators, families, concerned citizens, and legislators keep asking about our jails and prisons:

What's the real extent of violence and abuse? How dangerous and stressful is it to be a corrections officer? How do families deal with their lives when their loved ones work or are housed inside a prison?

13 What's the impact, direct and indirect on society at  
14 large? Are there ways to make jails and prisons safer  
15 and less abusive for everyone inside of them?

16 Alan Elsner is a journalist. Barbara Owen is a  
17 research and writer. Margaret Winter is an attorney  
18 who handles civil cases involving prisoners. While not  
19 representing the full spectrum of people who teach us  
20 about prison life, these three witnesses do represent  
21 some of the significant sources for the information we  
22 have about jails and prisons. So we want to thank each  
23 of them for taking the time to appear at our first  
24 hearing this afternoon.

25 It's our hope that the lessons that you've learned

164

1 in your respective jobs will serve as part of our  
2 foundation for our inquiry into what we know about our  
3 prisons and what we don't know.

4 Before proceeding, I want to describe briefly the  
5 format we're going to be using. Senator Romero is  
6 going to be introducing the witnesses. Saul Green is  
7 then going to begin the questioning with inquiries to  
8 all three of you. Then Senator Romero, Saul Green, and  
9 I will individually question each of you and then we'll  
10 be opening it up to the full Commission.

11 So let me begin now by turning to Senator Romero.

12 SENATOR ROMERO: Thank you.

13 I also want to personally thank each of the  
14 witnesses for traveling here to appear before the  
15 Commission on Safety and Abuse in America's Prisons.

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
16 Albeit the commissioners can certainly only benefit  
17 from the testimony that you're going to provide to all  
18 of us today.

19 As outsiders, the three of you have spent the  
20 majority of your careers in trying to peer into a world  
21 that most people don't know about or perhaps want to  
22 try to avoid.

23 Author and reporter, Alan Elsner, has a  
24 distinguished 28-year career in journalism. His 2004  
25 book, "Gates of Injustice: The Crisis in America's

165

1 Prisons," has been widely praised as a hard-hitting  
2 book at a major problem. Senator Edward Kennedy called  
3 it "a wake up call" for America. The book was  
4 short-listed for the Robert F. Kennedy Book Award.

5 Currently National Correspondent for Reuters New  
6 Service based in Washington, D. C., he also served as  
7 that agency's Chief Political Correspondent from  
8 1994 to 2000. From 1989 to 1994, Mr. Elsner was  
9 Reuters State Department Correspondent. Mr. Elsner was  
10 one of the first reporters to draw attention to the  
11 Rwandan genocide and helped the U. S. government to  
12 change its policy.

13 Thank you so very much, Mr. Elsner. We'll look  
14 forward to your testimony.

15 Barbara Owen is a Professor of Criminology at  
16 California State University, Fresno, and is a  
17 nationally known expert in the areas of women and  
18 crime, prison culture, gender-specific programming, and  
19 substance abuse and drug treatment systems.

20 She has provided training for the National  
21 Institute of Corrections in such areas as operational  
22 practices for women offenders, staff sexual misconduct,  
23 women and community corrections and improving health  
24 care for women offenders. Dr. Owen is the author of  
25 over 12 articles and two books, including "In the Mix:

166

1 Struggle and Survival in a Women's Prison." She is  
2 developing an international Collective for the Study  
3 of Women's Prisons.

4 And I will say, as the first woman in California  
5 in the legislature to oversee corrections in  
6 California, I applaud the contributions you have made  
7 to raising the profile and the need for us to be aware  
8 of women in the correctional system.

9 Margaret Winter is Associate Director of the  
10 National Prison Project of the ACLU. She has argued  
11 and won a prisoner's rights case in the United States  
12 Supreme Court. And for the past three years, she has  
13 been involved in the National Prison Project's special  
14 initiative to investigate and challenge conditions  
15 resulting in prisoner rape. She is lead counsel in a  
16 case involving sex slavery in a Texas prison, which  
17 resulted in the first federal appellate court decision  
18 recognizing the equal protection rights of gay  
19 prisoners not to suffer discrimination on the basis of  
20 their sexual orientation. In collaboration with  
21 Holland & Knight, she brought a class-wide challenge on  
22 behalf of Mississippi Death Row prisoners to their

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
23 conditions of confinement, resulting in a sweeping  
24 injunction that was legally affirmed by the Fifth  
25 Circuit.

167

1 She helped prepared reports and  
2 recommendations that resulted in an end of HIV prison  
3 program segregation in Mississippi in 2001, and in  
4 Alabama in 2003.

5 We look forward to your testimony as well.

6 We're going to go ahead and begin with Mr. Elsner  
7 then hear from Dr. Owen and followed by Ms. Winter. I  
8 would like to remind each of the witnesses that we are  
9 asking that your opening remarks be limited to five  
10 minutes. That gives us then an opportunity for us to,  
11 of course, question, to engage in dialogue with you,  
12 and I'd also like to encourage in fact dialogue amongst  
13 yourselves as well. Just because the question may be  
14 posed to one, does not necessarily preclude that the  
15 others cannot address the question as well.

16 I also want to take this time to remind everybody  
17 here in the audience today and anybody who may be  
18 watching that the full written statements of each of  
19 these witnesses, and in fact all of the witnesses who  
20 are participating today and tomorrow, will be available  
21 on the Commission Web site, and that address is  
22 [www.prisoncommission.org](http://www.prisoncommission.org). Let me repeat that,  
23 [www.prisoncommission.org](http://www.prisoncommission.org). And all of the witness'  
24 testimony should be available anywhere from seven to  
25 ten days.

1 All right. Let me go ahead and ask Mr. Elsner  
2 if we can begin hearing you.

3 MR. ELSNER: Thank you, Senator Romero.

4 SENATOR ROMERO: If you can please speak directly  
5 into the mike and use -- from the diaphragm as loud as  
6 possible. I know it's after lunch, but you can do it.

7 MR. ELSNER: Thank you very much.

8 It's a real honor and a pleasure to be able to  
9 testify here.

10 In the course of my career I think I must have  
11 covered thousands of hearings of one kind or another.  
12 This is the first time I'm sitting on this side of the  
13 microphone, and I must say that it feels kind of weird.  
14 My big disappointment was, I was not asked to stand and  
15 do the oath thing.

16 MR. SESSIONS: We can arrange for that.

17 MR. ELSNER: I was asked to share my perspectives  
18 on journalists' access to the U.S. prison system and on  
19 our ability to report on developments behind bars.

20 Over the past five years, as a reporter and also  
21 in researching for my book, I relied on a wide variety  
22 of information and sources: Official reports,  
23 statistics, the two states' reports by human rights  
24 organizations, legal transcripts and judgments,  
25 academic studies, memoirs and personal accounts and of

1 course my own reporting gathered through numerous

2 visits to prisons and jails around the country. I  
3 have visited many prisons and jails, mostly on the East  
4 and West coasts, but after a certain point, I made a  
5 deliberate decision to stop making these visits because  
6 I came to the conclusion that their journalistic  
7 usefulness for me was very difficult -- had run out,  
8 was about a zero.

9 I've been a reporter for 28 years and covering the  
10 U. S. prison system in some ways takes me back to the  
11 early days of my career as a foreign correspondent when  
12 the Cold War was still at its height. And it reminds  
13 me a little of what it used to be like trying to cover  
14 the former East Bloc, where one's access was limited  
15 and movements were strictly monitored, and they  
16 basically took you to where they wanted to take you and  
17 showed you what they wanted you to see and that you  
18 speak to who they wanted you to speak to.

19 To visit a prison, the procedure is something like  
20 this: You apply in writing to the Department of  
21 Corrections stating exactly what kind of a story you  
22 plan to write and who you want to talk to. When I went  
23 into a prison, I was usually accompanied by officials  
24 who controlled where in the facility I could go, who I  
25 could talk to, and what I could see. Before I spoke

170

1 with a particular inmate, the official made sure that  
2 that inmate signed a personal release form. Often the  
3 inmates I spoke to were carefully selected in advance  
4 by prison administrators.

5 This obviously gave me a very limited idea of what  
6 was actually happening in a particular institution.  
7 For example, a few months after I visited Bedford Hills  
8 Women's Prison in New York State, I learned that  
9 several inmates there were complaining of brutal sexual  
10 abuse at the hands of correctional officers. I only  
11 discovered this because of some of the women had  
12 launched a lawsuit against the State of New York, the  
13 Department of Corrections and individual guards. The  
14 alleged abuse was in full spate while I was visiting  
15 the facility but I had come and gone without even an  
16 inkling that it might have been going on.

17 Only once did I gain access to a facility where I  
18 was able to speak to inmates freely. This happened in  
19 April 2002, when I joined a group of pro bono lawyers  
20 visiting Piedmont Regional Jail in Virginia where  
21 they were representing foreign citizens seeking asylum  
22 or fighting deportation. On entering the facility, I  
23 was not asked whether I was a reporter and I didn't  
24 volunteer that information. You can read the  
25 text of the story that I wrote and is appended to my

171

1 written testimony.

2 There had been allegations of abuse at this jail  
3 and inmates did in -- sorry -- did indeed describe  
4 horrific abuse. When I filed my story, the response  
5 of the authorities was to close the facility to civil  
6 rights lawyers for over a year. That meant that  
7 inmates had no access to legal advice, and I should  
8 note in parenthesis here, that as foreign citizens they

9 did not have a constitutional right to have a  
10 lawyer. So they had no access to legal advice until  
11 the authorities finally relented and allowed the  
12 lawyers to visit again. The message to the lawyers was  
13 never to do this again if they wished to represent  
14 their clients. The message to inmates was never again  
15 to speak to a reporter unless they wished to lose  
16 access to lawyers.

17 Recently, the Atlanta-based Southern Center for  
18 Human Rights filed a lawsuit alleging abuse of elderly  
19 and sick prisoners at the Hamilton Aged and Infirm  
20 Correctional Facility in Hamilton, Alabama. The suit  
21 alleges that the facility is severely overcrowded;  
22 inmates lacked access to adequate medical help; the  
23 facility is allegedly filthy and unhygienic and some  
24 inmates often lay in their own feces for days on end.  
25 In response, the Alabama Department of Corrections has

172

1 said that the level of health services is well above  
2 federally mandated standards.

3 Knowing that I'd be testifying before this  
4 Commission today, I submitted a request to the Alabama  
5 Department of Corrections on March 23rd, asking to  
6 visit Hamilton, and my request was turned down the  
7 following day. That means that I and other reporters  
8 have no way of verifying what's actually happening in  
9 that institution. In fact, if you guys want to make a  
10 field trip, it would be interesting to see what their  
11 response to you would be.

12 I should note here that turning down reporters'  
13 requests is all too common. I've never gained access  
14 to a so-called Supermax prison despite many requests.  
15 To extend my metaphor from the beginning of this  
16 testimony, if the prison system as a whole is like the  
17 former Soviet Union in its attitude toward reporters,  
18 the Supermax prisons are pretty much like North Korea.  
19 We basically have no idea what's going on in them  
20 today.

21 I still believe that, having written a book, I  
22 know painfully little about what's happening in our  
23 prison system. You see, these are some of the things  
24 that I would like to know:

25 I would like to know how many men are raped, and

173

1 I'm anxiously awaiting a forthcoming report from the  
2 Bureau of Justice Statistics.

3 I would like to know how many people have  
4 Hepatitis B and C.

5 I would like to know how many people commit  
6 suicide and how they do so.

7 I'd like to know how many test positive for  
8 tuberculosis and the sexually transmitted diseases.

9 I would like to know how many suffer from diabetes  
10 and hypertension.

11 I'd actually like to know how many people die in  
12 our prison system each year and what they die of.

13 I would like to know how many times correctional  
14 officers use Tasers and stun guns, and how many times  
15 they carry out cell extractions.

16           What goes on in our prisons seems distant and  
17 removed, as if it's happening in another country,  
18 almost on another planet. And you all's major task, in  
19 my humble opinion, is to remind us that prisoners are  
20 not on another planet, they're not in another country,  
21 they're part of the United States and they're  
22 inhabitants and remain citizens of the United States  
23 and do not forfeit their human rights the minute they  
24 step behind bars.

25           Thank you.

174

1           SENATOR ROMERO: Thank you.

2           Dr. Owen --

3           DR. OWEN: Thank you, Senator Romero.

4           I too would like to extend my thanks to the  
5 Commission for the opportunity to testify today.

6           I'm speaking from my experience of being a prison  
7 sociologist for over two decades. I have a lot of  
8 different kinds of experiences. I've been employed by  
9 the Federal Bureau of Prisons as a research analyst.  
10 I've approached prison systems hat in hand begging for  
11 access. I've done funded and unfunded research. I've  
12 done theoretical and descriptive work. I've also done  
13 applied work.

14           My first book was on Correctional Officers of San  
15 Quentin, where I met the current director of the  
16 California Department of Corrections. She was one of  
17 my respondents initially, and we've enjoyed a  
18 colleague-like friendship over the years. I've also

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
19 written extensively about women, as the Senator pointed  
20 out, and I've also done research in men's prisons. My  
21 work, I would characterize not only as sociology but  
22 also as policy.

23 I'm here today to speak about the utility of  
24 social science research. I feel, along with my  
25 esteemed colleagues, social science research has a

175

1 place in the prison along with investigative reporting,  
2 along with legal research. I feel that social science  
3 research is one piece of the complex puzzle of safety  
4 and abuse in American prisons.

5 I feel strongly that social science research, with  
6 all its aggregating aspects, is one way to permeate  
7 the walls of the prison. Very often people forget, the  
8 walls are designed to keep people out as well as in.

9 There has been much testimony about the human face  
10 of safety and abuse in American prisons, and I submit  
11 that social science research, particularly qualitative  
12 and ethnographic research of the kind I and many of my  
13 colleagues conduct does put a human face on the  
14 problem.

15 I'm also here to advocate for sentencing reform.  
16 In my view, safety and abuse are highly correlated to  
17 the overwhelming number of men and women who are  
18 overwhelming our system. There are too many people  
19 serving too long of sentences. These are women and  
20 men who have committed nonviolent property and drug  
21 crimes, and it's that huge number which I think has  
22 crushed the prison and brought the problem to the

23 floor.

24 The commissioners asked the question earlier, if  
25 shorter sentences was one solution. I'm here to add my

176

1 vote to that approach.

2 I also feel that there are three principles in  
3 increasing safety and decreasing abuse I would like  
4 the Commission to consider. First of all, as Alan has  
5 pointed out, these are American citizens and they  
6 deserve to be treated decently.

7 Secondly, they are human beings, and they deserve  
8 to be treated humanely.

9 And finally, all of us need to develop mutual  
10 respect for prisoners and for staff.

11 As a former employee of the Bureau of Prisons, and  
12 naturally they'll always claim me, I learned that staff  
13 deserve respect just as prisoners do, and I think if  
14 we talk about mutual respect we further our endeavor.

15 I was asked specifically to talk about questions  
16 of access for prison research. And I'd like to bring  
17 up the myth that prison research can't be done. I'm  
18 living proof that prison research can be done, but it  
19 is a bisonline process shaped by a bureaucracy that's  
20 often suspicious of the motives of the researcher, and  
21 the process itself is very difficult to access.

22 Another key issue I would like to bring to the  
23 Commission's attention is what's called the Human  
24 Subjects Approval Process or the Institutional Review  
25 Board. This is a misunderstood process and it's needs

1 careful review itself.

2 The two main points of my testimony are these:

3 First, prison research is best undertaken in a  
4 spirit of collaboration and heartening. It's my  
5 experience that wardens and correctional managers want  
6 to know what's going on in their institution, and they  
7 sometimes turn to correctional researchers, to social  
8 science researchers to answer those questions. I  
9 believe that social science research can be critical of  
10 the prisons. In my work on women's prisons, for  
11 example, I've been highly critical of the way  
12 California treats its women's prisoners, but I believe  
13 good science and professional ethics overwhelm that  
14 idea of being critical.

15 It's important for us as researchers to learn how  
16 to frame questions in order to get our information to  
17 and out of the prisons. Very often researchers are  
18 guilty of creating very obscure research reports and  
19 making their findings very inaccessible, and I believe  
20 that's something we should all pay attention to.

21 My second concern involves the time it takes. It  
22 takes an enormous amount of time to conduct prison  
23 research. The question of access takes a lot of time,  
24 and there's many processes I describe in my written  
25 testimony. In terms of Alan's comment about the

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
1 one-time visits, I think being in the field for a long  
2 period of time is also critical to getting one of the  
3 multiple stories that happens in prisons. Prison is  
4 about doing time, as is research.

5 Finally, I'd like to conclude by saying that  
6 knowledge is a powerful tool. And I have three  
7 specific recommendations, also contained in my written  
8 testimony for the Commission:

9 First, I would hope the Commission would consider  
10 developing a research agenda, and this research agenda  
11 should have some substantive findings based on the  
12 conclusions of their investigation and also address the  
13 very bison-tine, bizarre process of gaining access to  
14 the prisons.

15 Second, I hope the Commission would consider the  
16 question of sentencing reform. There is too many  
17 people doing too much time, and again it's overwhelming  
18 the capacity of our prisons.

19 And finally, I would like to make a special plea  
20 to the Commission to embrace the fact that imprisonment  
21 is a gender experience.

22 I've submitted other testimony that describes the  
23 genders' dimensions of harm, and I would urge the  
24 Commission to consider the equally compelling case of  
25 the women in prison.

179

1 Thank you very much.

2 SENATOR ROMERO: Thank you, Dr. Owen.

3 Ms. Winter --

4 MS. WINTER: It is a very great honor to appear

5 before this Commission. I have felt for some years  
6 that some body-like system was sorely needed.

7 SENATOR ROMERO: Can you speak into your mike more  
8 directly, please.

9 MS. WINTER: I felt for some years that a  
10 Commission of this kind was sorely needed, and when I  
11 heard that one was being convened, I was very happy.  
12 And I'm very honored to appear before it.

13 Three years ago the National Prison Project of the  
14 ACLU filed suit on behalf of a young gay  
15 African-American man, a nonviolent offender, who was  
16 sent to serve his time in one of the most violent  
17 and gang infested prisons in Texas. He was immediately  
18 raped, and for the next 18 months he was literally  
19 enslaved, made a sex slave by prison gangs and degraded  
20 in every possible way.

21 Month after month repeatedly he pleaded to prison  
22 officials from wardens all the way down for protection.  
23 He said, "Lock me up. Put in the me in your darkest  
24 protective custody or a setake cell, but get me away  
25 from this horror. "

180

1 And they told him repeatedly that he had three  
2 choices: Either to act like a man, fight, or to get a  
3 man; meaning get a boyfriend, a protector, or submit to  
4 the sex. Those were his three choices.

5 I am very keenly aware that not all prison  
6 officials are callously indifferent; far from it. To  
7 the contrary. Some of the great shining lights

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
8 of -- I've met in the process of litigation, I'm  
9 finding that there are people at every level in most  
10 systems who want to do the right thing and are doing  
11 their utmost to do that. Some of them have already  
12 testified to this Commission, and there will be more  
13 that will appear, including a very great man, Don  
14 Cabana, who I believe will appear tomorrow.

15 But these are prison officials. The ones who make  
16 a good difference, they are the ones who refuse to turn  
17 a blind eye. They understand that the first step to  
18 solving the staggering problems of abuse and violence  
19 in America's prisons is simply to admit to it, to face  
20 up to it.

21 What happens to our client, Roderick Johnson, in a  
22 Texas prison is not a sporadic isolated event, it's  
23 commonplace in Texas, which I believe is probably the  
24 prison rape capitol of the USA. But it's not just  
25 Texas. There are many prisons around the country where

181

1 this kind of scenario is commonplace.

2 I ask myself, what do these prisons have in common  
3 that makes it possible for rape and beating, extortion  
4 and sexual slavery to be the pattern of daily existence  
5 for so many vulnerable prisoners, thousands of them  
6 What do these systems or prisons -- what do these  
7 facilities have in common? And the answer that I keep  
8 coming back to is that they are in denial, they are  
9 willfully blind. In the very same prisons that are  
10 under virtual total control of violent gangs,  
11 there you will frequently find prison administrators

12 who most loudly insist that rape is sporadic; that  
13 violence, rape and extortion are -- they're like  
14 natural phenomena like a bolt of lightning out of the  
15 blue, they can't be predicted and so essentially they  
16 can't really be prevented. And it's not true. I know  
17 that that's not true. I know from the hundreds of  
18 cases that we have investigated that unfortunately in  
19 all too many prisons, in all too many facilities, it's  
20 not a sporadic, it's not a bolt of lightning out of the  
21 blue, it is the pattern of daily life.

22 Record keeping and good policies are of course  
23 tremendously important for accountability and  
24 transparency. But those things are so far from  
25 sufficient -- and I just want to give briefly two

182

1 examples:

2 In January of 2003 a Supermax at Mississippi State  
3 Penitentiary in Parchman was accredited by the American  
4 Corrections Association. Great records, great  
5 policies. Four months later a federal Judge declared  
6 that the conditions there were so cruel and so inhuman  
7 that they drove sane men mad, and prisoners who entered  
8 there with mental illness into profound psychosis.

9 There is not time for more examples, but I believe  
10 that the bottom answer that we are all looking for is,  
11 light, light, and more light. I don't believe that  
12 there's a single permanent monitoring independent  
13 institution that we can set up that won't become  
14 atrophied and have hardening of the arteries like the

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
other mechanisms.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

What we need is the coming at it from different ways, the power of this Commission, the power of courageous reporting, a social scientist doing research; a litigation that depends on federal Judges who have lifetime tenure to take the heat; and responsible, good wardens and Commissioners and superintendents and correctional officers who say, you know, thank God that there's somebody who is going to look into this mess and give us the backing and the support that we need to change it.

183

1 MR. GREEN: Thank you, Ms. Winter, and thank each  
2 of you for your opening testimony.

3 As Laurie Robinson indicated at the beginning of  
4 this panel, we wanted to address some questions  
5 initially that we would like each of you to reply to,  
6 and then we will talk to each of you individually on  
7 certain questions that we have.

8 The first question is that we're wondering to what  
9 extent things have changed from the beginning of each  
10 of your respective careers and the different  
11 disciplines that you use, in terms of the amount of  
12 knowledge that we know about what goes on inside  
13 prisons and what prison officials and correction  
14 officers and the general public knows. Has that  
15 knowledge increased, and if so, what are the factors  
16 that have led to that?

17 Why don't we start -- actually, I'd like to start  
18 with you, Alan.

19 MR. ELSNER: Well, I've been doing this for a  
20 relatively short time. I really only wrote my first  
21 prison story I think in early 2001, so I don't have a  
22 lot of perspective to bring to this, but in my opinion  
23 the public basically knows very, very little about the  
24 U. S. prison system

25 I've been going around the country talking about

184

1 it, and I get questions that you can't assume any  
2 knowledge at all. And I think that in our media  
3 coverage as a whole the amount that's written about the  
4 prison system or the amount that's covered about the  
5 prison system is minuscule compared to the constant  
6 barrage of sensationalist coverage of crime. The old  
7 adage, "If it bleeds, it leads." Every day people are  
8 bombarded with images and words about crime that gives  
9 them the idea that they are all constantly under threat  
10 all the time. And any coverage of the prison system  
11 just pales in comparison to that. And the crime  
12 coverage very, very rarely does it put it into  
13 perspective. You don't hear, for instance, that crime  
14 is down 70 percent over the past ten years or that  
15 murder is drastically reduced or that we're basically  
16 much safer than we ever were before. Still, you know,  
17 the constant barrage all the time. So I think that  
18 what we do is a pinprick in relation to that.

19 MR. GREEN: Ms. Owen --

20 MS. OWEN: I think there has been a net total of  
21 increased knowledge, so my short answer is yes, but I

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
22 think the form of this knowledge and the accessibility  
23 of this knowledge is the problem. I can name for  
24 you -- because I'm a researcher -- five experts on any  
25 issue you that you want to bring up on prison, but

185

1 whether or not their reports or their materials is read  
2 by folks in positions as you all hold, whether the  
3 public knows about it, whether even researchers outside  
4 that specific discipline know about it is the  
5 fundamental question.

6 Research -- researchers don't always deliver their  
7 findings in consumable or useable form. And I think  
8 there's several organizations within the federal  
9 government -- the National Institute of Corrections,  
10 the National Institute of Justice -- who have that  
11 value of turning social science research into some  
12 useful form. But I think the complexities of the  
13 prison community limit the distribution of that  
14 material.

15 So I think one of the things I would recommend is  
16 learning how to talk across disciplines and across  
17 these kind of isolated components. So, yes, there is  
18 more research, but whether it's useable and applicable  
19 is an open question.

20 MS. WINTER: Six years ago in Texas a brave  
21 federal Judge, Judge Justice entered a hundred-page  
22 long opinion describing violence and abuse in Texas  
23 prisons, and he said that part of the problem was that  
24 there weren't -- there was sort of a willful blindness  
25 there. The records weren't being kept. Statistics

1 weren't being kept. Reports weren't being kept. And  
2 prison officials were turning a blind eye. He entered  
3 this magnificent opinion, and shortly -- within a  
4 couple years -- the Fifth Circuit terminated the decree  
5 under the Prison Litigation Reform Act. And I can tell  
6 you, that was -- as far as I can see, there was  
7 not -- not for a moment was the problem solved or even  
8 lessened.

9 Today, in the cases that we bring, we find that  
10 there is better recordkeeping as a result of that  
11 class action lawsuit, and it's hugely important, but it  
12 hasn't yet made any difference in the way the prison is  
13 run. There are incident reports documenting -- you  
14 know, documenting in magnificent detail the trail of  
15 deliberate indifference, but nobody sees those reports.  
16 It took us three years and a trip to the Court of  
17 Appeals to finally get those incident reports a few  
18 weeks ago. And it's staggering the information that's  
19 in them. But the Office of Internal Affairs never  
20 looked at those incident reports, you know, the Office  
21 of Inspector General.

22 It was a huge problem to get those records. And  
23 so it's again the question of how do people get the  
24 will and the energy, change it once they've got the  
25 information. And it's not just prison officials. I

1 mean, my God, it's state legislators, it's courts, it's  
2 the people, it's the public. Without the will and the  
3 energy, nothing changes. And I think that the will and  
4 the energy comes from occasions like this Commission  
5 where it's borne in on the public consciousness that  
6 they're real human beings who are suffering atrocities,  
7 absolute atrocities, and barbarisms. And if we -- you  
8 know, if the majority of the people open their eyes to  
9 that, then there is a difference.

10 MR. GREEN: I hear you saying, and I think this  
11 Commission believes that it's going to be very, very  
12 important to put a human face on this issue that we are  
13 trying to examine. We heard in a previous -- in the  
14 previous panel about the fact that we look at prisoners  
15 as subhuman and that that has a great deal to do with  
16 some of the actions and activities that go on inside  
17 our prisons and jail.

18 Do you have suggestions on how we can go about  
19 trying to put a human face and making the public to  
20 understand just how serious these conditions are?  
21 And along those lines, does that human -- putting that  
22 human face, are there ways that you all collaborate in  
23 an effort to try to get that story out and to impact  
24 the public?

25 Alan, I'm going to go back to you as the media

188

1 person.

2 MR. ELSNER: Well, obviously putting a human face  
3 on it is what I do, given our stock in trade is to find

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT

4 people, talk to them, and try to tell their stories  
5 without glorifying them, obviously, or glorifying the  
6 crimes that they may have been committed -- they may  
7 have committed.

8 You know, obviously, I'm the kind of -- you know,  
9 the sharp end of the stick. These guys go out there  
10 and labor for months and years on end, and I turn it  
11 into 500 very highly polished words. And I mean that  
12 quite seriously because, you know, I -- when I was  
13 writing my book, they basically haunted my responses  
14 and went through their files and tried to extract  
15 from them stories which I thought: A, illustrated some  
16 wider truth that was somehow typical; and B, brought  
17 home that this is really happening to real people.

18 And the same with the social science research.  
19 You know, there was dramatic studies by one of  
20 Dr. Owen's colleagues in Bedford Hills Prison about  
21 sex -- about abusive of women prisoners. And I was  
22 looking at the abuse these inmates had suffered prior  
23 to coming into the prison system, spousal abuse, abuse  
24 when they were children, and the figures were just  
25 astounding. And when you broke them down, you broke

189

1 them down into how many had been kicked; how many  
2 had been struck; how many had been threatened with  
3 a knife; how many had been threatened with a gun; how  
4 many had been shot; how many had been bitten. And then  
5 when -- but just giving the statistics in a table, you  
6 know, you look at that and you think, oh, you know,  
7 terrible. When you actually talk to people and find

8 out that this is the fabric of their lives and these  
9 are real people and they -- but for the grace of  
10 God -- go on.

11 I mean, I'm often asked when I speak about  
12 the prison system in promoting my book -- I'm going to  
13 be very brief -- I'm often why asked by people, "Why  
14 should I care about this? After all, my kids are never  
15 going to go there. I'm never going to go there.  
16 My neighbors are never going to go there."

17 Well, first off, "Don't be so sure." You know,  
18 one in every 30 Americans is going there, and your  
19 kid might be picked up on a DUI or something and given  
20 72 hours in a local jail. He might be thrown into a  
21 cell with a rapist, and that's happened.

22 But the second answer is that, you know, we all  
23 are one people. Of course we should care. We care  
24 about our education system even though many of us  
25 don't have kids in the education system anymore, and

190

1 prisons is part of our education system. It's where we  
2 educate our future prisoners, our future criminals and  
3 sociopaths.

4 MR. GREEN: Barbara --

5 MS. WINTER: I would also suggest that we widen  
6 the frame when we have these conversations about the  
7 prisons. I believe quite strongly that we expect the  
8 prisons to solve a whole host of the social problems.  
9 We've layed at the feet of the prisons the problems of  
10 women and children being abused, the problems of

11 transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
12 unemployment, the problems of substance abuse, the  
13 problems that all of us who work in the criminal  
14 justice system are well aware of.

15 I think we need to think about the ways in which  
16 we can come to understand the context and the pathways  
17 in the prisons for both women and men in order to fully  
18 address this picture. There's many advocacy  
19 org -- advocate organizations such as Families Against  
20 Mandatory Minimums, such as Stop Prison Rape Group that  
21 work very hard at trying to convey a different message.  
22 But the reality is that -- is as Alan describes it, and  
23 that is the media, Hollywood, the news makes us think  
24 everybody in prison is Charles Manson. And while we  
25 certainly do have a handful of Charles Manson types in  
the prisons, the sheer fact is that over 70 percent of

191

1 the people locked up in America's prisons is in for  
2 nonviolent offenses. So we need to think about the  
3 ways in which those specific facts can become more  
4 lively than the table.

5 I'd like to tell Alan that I can show you a  
6 table on the Bureau of Justice Statistics' website  
7 that tells you how many people were killed in custody  
8 or had died in custody, but we don't know the details  
9 behind them.

10 Again, American's are kind of sound bite people.  
11 So we need to think about the ways we can move behind  
12 the numbers, move beyond the numbers and think about  
13 how these are human beings. In Australia they refer to  
14 the term "etherizing." How prisoners are "etherized."

15 And I think it might be one task of the Commission to  
16 unetharize and to bring out in a very public way with  
17 some very high profile folks sitting on this Commission  
18 to talk about the ways in which prisoners and staff are  
19 human beings, too.

20 MS. WINTER: I think it was Senator Romero who  
21 this morning talked about giving prisoners themselves a  
22 voice, the importance of that. And I don't think  
23 anybody could have heard Garrett Cunningham or the  
24 other witnesses this morning without "getting it;"  
25 that these are human beings and that there -- and that

192

1 there are literally, you know, thousands of Garrett  
2 Cunninghams. And how their voices can be heard is for  
3 me a very big issue.

4 In litigation, the public education angle of civil  
5 rights litigation is tremendously important, and to get  
6 the press to cover it at all, to be interested is a  
7 big mountain to climb. And as our public education  
8 person is constantly telling me, "You've got to be able  
9 to get the reporter in to talk to the prisoners or  
10 you're not going to get a story." And of course, most  
11 prisons make that absolutely impossible for prisoners  
12 to talk directly to the press. And I actually think  
13 that that would make a huge -- I mean, to me that would  
14 be a very, very significant thing if prisoners had  
15 direct access to the press; not simply through letters,  
16 but by telephone, in person so that their voices could  
17 actually be heard.

18 DR. OWEN: But I'd also like to add at the same  
19 time, researchers need to understand how prisons  
20 actually operate. I served during probably the last  
21 eight years on the California Department of Corrections  
22 Research Review Committee as an outside person, and  
23 very often researchers come in with a naive  
24 understanding thinking that prisoners are going to be  
25 brought out from their cells and lined up for interview

193

1 purposes. And one of the things you realize, a very  
2 small example, is that many prisoners work, and when  
3 you pull a prisoner off of his or her job, they're  
4 going to lose that very important 13 cents an hour  
5 they're making. And so researchers get very  
6 frustrated, "oh, they won't bring the prisoners out so  
7 I can talk to them," but at the same time researchers  
8 aren't realizing maybe the time to conduct their  
9 interviews is not during the prisoner workday.

10 In my testimony I describe in some very tedious  
11 details about collaborating with institutions on  
12 figuring out the best way to access prisoners. I am  
13 highly aware that investigative reporting has different  
14 kinds of needs and different kinds of constraints, but  
15 I think part of doing the time, spending the time to do  
16 prison research is understanding how prisons actually  
17 operate. And I think that will go a long way into  
18 making them a little more transparent.

19 MR. GREEN: Can each of you comment briefly on how  
20 your work in fact may help the men and women who manage  
21 and supervise our jails and prisons in this country? I

22 know Dr. Owen talked about actually being enlisted to a  
23 certain extent by different institutions to work with  
24 wardens who wanted research done. But maybe if each  
25 of you could just briefly talk about that.

194

1 Margaret, do you have a sense of how your work  
2 actually helps the management and supervision issue?

3 MS. WINTER: Yes. I feel that there is certainly  
4 many, many enlightened corrections officials who would  
5 fervently like to be sued by the ACLU. They  
6 are -- they have to accept these thousands of prisoners  
7 who are sent to them, you know, more and more under  
8 these crazy sentencing laws, and they're staying longer  
9 and longer. So the prisons, no matter how many they  
10 build, are always bursting at the seams. Violence is  
11 almost inevitable in situations like that. No matter  
12 how much money is poured into this crazy machine, it's  
13 never enough, it's this hungry law that can never be  
14 adequately fed. So somebody's got to take  
15 responsibility for this.

16 There's people passing these sentencing laws to  
17 lock up all of these nonviolent offenders so that the  
18 prison population keeps burgeoning. Somebody has to  
19 take responsibility, and that is finally where the  
20 federal courts do play an extraordinarily important  
21 role. Somebody has to be willing to take the political  
22 heat.

23 There are people who are so -- of such superior  
24 caliber in some corrections, high level and not so high

1 do it by themselves. There's got to be public support.  
2 If they're surrounded by a public -- of --  
3 (Unintelligible) -- who doesn't understand that these  
4 are human beings, they have no support for trying to  
5 treat prisoners humanely, and so they want it aired.  
6 And a very good way to air it, among other ways, is  
7 through litigation, through litigation, through  
8 journalism, through research.

9 And I think that the ones -- you know, the  
10 examples that -- you know, shining examples of great  
11 corrections officials are the ones who want  
12 transparency, who know that they must have it  
13 if they are to run a humane system.

14 MR. GREEN: Ms. Owen --

15 DR. OWEN: I too have had many prison managers  
16 tell me, "Why don't you call up some of your friends  
17 and have them sue me?" And the first time I heard  
18 that I was quite sad. It was the warden of the women's  
19 prisons in California who thought that she should be  
20 sued over the way the women are treated in death row in  
21 California. And that's such a surprising thing to  
22 hear, but very often litigation is the only way that  
23 they can get money and they can get support from  
24 their own system.

25 In my testimony, I said this, "I firmly believe

1 that most contemporary managers aim to run a fair and  
2 humane system. Few managers want to cover up incidents  
3 in their facilities." It's my view that professional  
4 correctional systems are increasing to evidence-based  
5 practice, to empirical data to help them make  
6 decisions. And I feel that researchers, journalists,  
7 litigators need to partner with these symptoms to  
8 collect and use this variety of data to help them solve  
9 the problems of safety and abuse.

10 MR. ELSNER: Well, my book is written for a  
11 general audience. But again, I've been very surprised  
12 when speaking about it -- the first time I went on a  
13 call in and somebody called in and said, "I'm a  
14 correctional officer." I said, "Uh, oh, you know,  
15 what's this going to be?" And he said, "Well, I  
16 couldn't agree with you more." And I think what I --  
17 and that's being repeated again and again. I've done  
18 talks in which heads of the Department of Corrections  
19 or wardens have come up to me and thanked me for  
20 writing the book.

21 What we need is to empower these people to speak  
22 out and think within the correctional industry, so to  
23 speak, that people realize that the system is  
24 dysfunctional and needs reform. The problem is coming  
25 from the politicians who keep passing it on man -- on

197

1 these unfunded mandates and more and more laws and  
2 running on being tough on crime and on all the other  
3 easy slogans. And we need to empower them.

4           Also, by looking at the financial aspects of the  
5           system because in a state which has to balance its  
6           budget, every dollar that's spent on corrections is a  
7           dollar that can't be spent on roads or recreation or  
8           education.

9           I think what Margaret said, you know, is -- is  
10          absolutely right, but we need to give people a voice.  
11          And I'm hoping that -- that, you know, that my book  
12          helped generate enough debate to give people a voice  
13          because they have a voice, they just haven't had an  
14          opportunity before to say what they think.

15          And again, this Commission is doing a wonderful  
16          job on that.

17          MR. GREEN: Senator Romero --

18          SENATOR ROMERO: I want to direct my questions to  
19          Mr. Elsner in particular. The last year I wrote  
20          legislation ended up on Governor Schwarzenegger's desk  
21          to facilitate and to basically open up the prisons  
22          to allow media access in the California prisons.

23          The measure was vetoed. The governor did  
24          come back and write me a vetoed message stating in part  
25          my bill would help to glorify criminals.

198

1           In researching this, and I'd like to ask you,  
2           Mr. Elsner, just as we talked about that there is  
3           no -- a prison system, there's 50 different ways of  
4           doing things, probably. I too have learned in the  
5           research, because I am bringing back the legislation  
6           again this year, that states respond to media access in

7 transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
very different ways.

8 Up until a few months ago California journalists  
9 couldn't even walk in with paper and pencil. Now you  
10 can have some paper and pencil, but your tools of the  
11 trade are not allowed. You also have to compete with  
12 family members in order to have access to inmates.  
13 And what you've described in your testimony is  
14 very -- very much describes the California system

15 North Carolina, that blazing liberal state,  
16 actually appears to be the most open in terms of media  
17 access.

18 So, Mr. Elsner, I'd like to ask you, when you do  
19 talk about the media and in your experience, what have  
20 you found in terms of trying to access correctional  
21 facilities across the states, and perhaps some factors  
22 that you have found that have contributed to having a  
23 more open access system than other states or jails, for  
24 that matter?

25 MR. ELSNER: I wouldn't say I found a particular

199

1 different difference in -- between one state and  
2 another. Although, I was able to go into San Quentin  
3 without any problem at all.

4 I think it really depends on the actual  
5 institution. I referred in my testimony to the  
6 difficulty in gaining access to the Supermax prisons,  
7 which I think is a huge problem. I mean, basically  
8 walling off these institutions to the extent that even  
9 family members have so-called video visits and can't  
10 have any face-to-face contact with their loved ones.

11 It's -- and I know that from my discussions with  
12 various representatives from human rights organizations  
13 that they have had great difficulty in gaining access  
14 to these institutions. The idea that there are parts  
15 of America that are just walled off to everybody, not  
16 just to the press and, you know, allowing the media  
17 in is no panacea, but it would be a start.

18 So, I mean, I think that that's where we have to  
19 work. Even if we were able to gain access to, let's  
20 say, 75 percent of the prisons in California but we  
21 couldn't get into the other 25 percent, you would  
22 wonder what's going on in that other 25 percent.

23 SENATOR ROMERO: But even in your written  
24 testimony on your visit to San Quentin, you acknowledge  
25 that you were followed everywhere.

200

1 MR. ELSNER: I was. And I do acknowledge also  
2 that you probably can't have reporters just wandering  
3 around in prisons. Prisons are dangerous places. So  
4 that, you know, I totally understand that there are  
5 security aspects there which have to be solved, but,  
6 yeah, I was followed around everywhere.

7 I was there to do a specific story about a peer  
8 counseling program for Hepatitis C, and that's what I  
9 did. I came in and got my story and I left.

10 SENATOR ROMERO: How do you check the accuracy of  
11 your sources, and likewise as well, I know that when I  
12 visit prisons, as I frequently do, I try to be very  
13 cautious, but I know that my visit even when

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
14 unannounced and I show up causes a ripple effect  
15 throughout the prison and has ramifications for those  
16 inmates or correctional officers who speak to me  
17 because word gets around fast in a prison.

18 How do you -- how do you control for that to  
19 ensure the safety of people with whom you might speak  
20 in prisons into which you go? What recommendations  
21 might you give us? And also, how do you check the  
22 accuracy of your sources?

23 MR. ELSNER: Well, I think that if people realize  
24 if you state up front, as you're ethically bound to do,  
25 that you are a reporter, that anything you say to me

201

1 you know I'm going to write down and use, possibly, and  
2 you give people the opportunity if they would prefer  
3 not to be quoted by name or to be quoted anonymously or  
4 in some other form or to use a different name. And if  
5 you give everyone those choices, then they really  
6 have to take responsibility themselves as to whether  
7 they want to speak to you. I'm not there to act as the  
8 sensor. So, you know, I'm assuming that I'm speaking  
9 with responsible adults who can weigh the ramifications  
10 and try to make a judgment as to whether or not they  
11 want to speak to me.

12 And as to the accuracy of sources, yeah, people  
13 have told me stories which I have then gone back and  
14 tried to check against trial records, by going  
15 through -- going through written records and calling  
16 the Department of Corrections, but that would be, you  
17 know, a normal journalistic practice. And if you get

18 two disputed stories, you basically would put both of  
19 them out there and let people make their own minds up.

20 SENATOR ROMERO: Dr. Owen --

21 DR. OWEN: I'd like to tell the Commission a  
22 little story. I spent three years in a very large  
23 women's prison in California writing my first book  
24 about women in prison. And when I first started coming  
25 into the prison -- and by the way, I was allowed

202

1 unfettered access once I passed several security  
2 clearances and the like. And when I was in the Bureau  
3 of Prisons as an employee, I was allowed certainly  
4 unfettered access.

5 When I would go into the prisons, it was very  
6 clear that, as you said, there's a ripple effect.  
7 She's coming through the gate; she's coming in that  
8 unit, and I realized that officers were calling down  
9 because it was very unusual for someone to wander  
10 around with a tape recorder, as I was allowed to do.  
11 So the women told me in the beginning that when I would  
12 come into a unit one particular officer would say,  
13 "We're having company. Everyone be on their best  
14 behavior."

15 Well, over a period of three years visiting this  
16 same unit, this "best behavior" caution went away, and  
17 one day the very same officer who said that earlier in  
18 the period saw me -- because I always check in with the  
19 officers when I come in, saw me and got over the  
20 loudspeaker and said, "The yard's open. Get out. I'm

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
21 sick of looking at you." So as I said in my earlier  
22 testimony, as you heard, when you spend a lot of time  
23 in an institution you're no longer an unusual thing.  
24 And I did observe officers saying things and doing  
25 things that you wouldn't observe in a one-shot kind of

203

1 visit.

2 So again, time. Doing prison research is about  
3 time.

4 SENATOR ROMERO: Let me ask just one last  
5 question.

6 MR. ELSNER: Just one very briefly.

7 SENATOR ROMERO: Sure.

8 MR. ELSNER: It's not only a question of speaking  
9 to people, you can observe a lot with your eyes and you  
10 can feel a lot, you know. If the place is 98 degrees,  
11 you feel that. And I believe that a lot of prisoners  
12 in this country die of heat stroke or of hypertension  
13 exacerbated by heat stress. If the place is filthy,  
14 filth is filth, you see that. So even if you weren't  
15 to speak to anyone, if you were able just to observe  
16 these things that would be valuable in its own right.

17 SENATOR ROMERO: And again, too, we talked about  
18 "the prison" as though it were the same. We talk about  
19 "the media" as though it were the same, and nothing  
20 could be farther from the truth. We also have  
21 broadcasts and print media. There tend to be some very  
22 different depictions in the depth to which a story can  
23 be investigated.

24 I know that at a later point we're going to have a

25 Hollywood hearing talking somewhat about how the -- how

204

1 prisons and jails are presented to the public. If I  
2 just think about my images, I can go anywhere from  
3 Elvis swiveling his pelvis to the "Jailhouse Rock," you  
4 know, Catherine Zeta-Jones, "Dancing on Death Row,"  
5 "America Me," which perhaps could be a linear  
6 depiction in prison.

7 MR. ELSNER: I actually found a website that  
8 lists all the prison movies, and I would say 80 percent  
9 of them are porno.

10 SENATOR ROMERO: Well, we're going to have to get  
11 that for the Hollywood hearing.

12 But the media shapes that. You know, the media  
13 shapes that overall.

14 What do you -- in terms of looking at that, what  
15 recommendations or guidance would you give to fellow  
16 journalists, being the broadcast or print media, in  
17 terms of try not to just do the -- you know, "If it  
18 bleeds, it leads," which captures the attention of the  
19 of the public oftentimes, but what advice and  
20 guidelines would you give to the trainee of the ethics  
21 of reporters if they attempt to portray this world that  
22 you never really see?

23 MR. ELSNER: I don't see any particular advantage  
24 to me answering that question. I'm not here to  
25 preach to other journalists, I'm really not, and

205

1 certainly not to preach ethics to other journalists.  
2 I think the ethical standards of the U. S. media are  
3 extremely high, I really do.

4 I think that the problem is, having the desire to  
5 write the story in the first place. You know, there  
6 have been some extraordinary journalistic efforts at  
7 exposing abuse and neglect in U. S. prisons. The New  
8 York Times series just recently on health care in the  
9 prison system. And I believe that health care is the  
10 single biggest abuse in the U. S. health -- prison  
11 system, bigger than rape, bigger than, God, brutality  
12 and violence, bigger than cell extractions. I think  
13 more people die of either outright inept or neglectful  
14 health care than any other single reason in U. S. prison  
15 systems. So I think that it can be done.

16 I just think that you -- having the media response  
17 to what they perceive as the public appetite. We just  
18 have a great example right now on the Michael  
19 Jackson trial. When everyone thought it was going to  
20 be a huge story, but the media is ramping down its  
21 coverage because the public just basically doesn't want  
22 to know about these yucky things that are going on in  
23 his ranch.

24 So the media responds to what they think the  
25 public wants to see. And we have to engage the public

206

1 and make them realize that this affects them, and this  
2 affects all of us. The fact that 600,000 people come

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
3 out of the prison system every year with tuberculosis,  
4 who carry tuberculosis. This affects all of us. We  
5 can get on a bus tomorrow and we don't know who is  
6 standing next to us. The fact that 600,000 people  
7 are released back into our communities every year is  
8 something which affects all of us. So our job -- and  
9 include all of us -- is to make people realize that  
10 this isn't some problem that is separated from them by  
11 walls. This affects all of us. There is no wall  
12 between them and us. They are moving backwards and  
13 forwards and they are us, and there's no barrier at  
14 all.

15 SENATOR ROMERO: Thank you.

16 MS. ROBINSON: Dr. Owen, I want to focus some  
17 questions for you, but first I want to go back to the  
18 issue you raised about women offenders because I think  
19 this is a terribly important issue. It's one I've been  
20 interested in for many years, and do want to state that  
21 the Commission is going to give some very focused  
22 attention to this in one of its future hearings. And I  
23 hope we'll have an opportunity to engage with you on  
24 that in the future.

25 DR. OWEN: I'll look forward to it, too.

207

1 MS. ROBINSON: I do want to ask you, in the time  
2 when evidence-based government is on the lips of people  
3 looking at the government across the country and with  
4 that the notion of cost-effective government, the  
5 findings from social science and criminology it seems  
6 to me are particularly important. And building on some

7 of what you've shared with us already, what are some  
8 ways that social scientists like you can share with  
9 correctional administrators ways of making our prison  
10 systems more effective in terms of reducing recidivism?

11 DR. OWEN: First of all, let me say that the  
12 physical argument is one that I think many of us felt  
13 would be a winning argument as long -- when we could  
14 explain and describe how expensive imprisoning the  
15 millions of folks that are in prisons is. When we  
16 could explain the fact that -- just as Alan said --  
17 "every dollar you spend on corrections is a dollar not  
18 spent somewhere else." In the late '80s, the early  
19 '90s most of us thought that would be the winning  
20 argument.

21 Well, here we are 15 more years later, and the  
22 fact is that states aren't acting rationally when it  
23 comes to fiscal policy in prisons. The fears and  
24 concerns that the media has shaped, that politicians  
25 very often don't want to come off as "soft in crime,"

208

1 these have really contributed to the irrationality of  
2 the physical issues.

3 When we understand that we're not getting what we  
4 think we're paying for within the prison, a reduction  
5 in community safety, rehabilitation, you would think  
6 that those facts would make our citizens, would make  
7 our politicians pay attention. To date, that has not  
8 been the case. We are very irrational in terms of how  
9 much money we're spending on prisons and very

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
10 irrational in thinking about building prisons and  
11 continuing to populate that.

12 To answer your question directly, again,  
13 partnering with professional organizations is one  
14 way to make social science research acceptable to  
15 decisionmakers.

16 Pairing researchers with correctional systems in a  
17 variety of ways. Fresno County Jail has approached me  
18 recently to help them develop a profile of their  
19 inmates because they're thinking about building another  
20 jail and they've decided they don't really know  
21 who's in their jail and maybe they need some social  
22 science to help them make that decision.

23 In the next year I'm going to be working for the  
24 California Department of Corrections to help them  
25 develop what I'm calling "a more rational approach" to

209

1 managing female offenders. However, in California we  
2 had a commission, the SER-3 Commission that issued its  
3 final report in 1992, that said some of the many things  
4 that are restated in the report that Barbara Bloom and  
5 Stephanie Covington and I have conducted on gender  
6 responsiveness. So the information is out there. It's  
7 how to connect it to the policymakers.

8 In these times of fiscal constraints, most  
9 Departments of Correction have denuded their research  
10 departments. California Department of Corrections had  
11 one of the best research departments in the nation in  
12 the '60s and the '70s. In the '80s it started being  
13 cut back. In the '90s it was cut back. I can show you

14 a memo that said, "Due to fiscal constraints, we have  
15 now eliminated the research departments in the  
16 California Department of Corrections." So I think  
17 focusing on the importance of social science research,  
18 but equally important, having researchers and  
19 policymakers entering a dialogue, entering a  
20 conversation.

21 I go to probably 15 conferences a year, and I've  
22 just started going, for example, to the American  
23 Correctional Association conference wherein that's  
24 where the policymakers are. That's where the  
25 decisionmakers are. So I think crossing these

210

1 disciplines from the academic -- who, believe me, I  
2 understand we can be very tedious and esoteric on many,  
3 many matters -- and the policymakers who want to know  
4 the bottom line, just tell me what to do. I think  
5 creating dialogue, creating partnerships and cutting  
6 down this etherizing.

7 Very many people in the research community don't  
8 like the correctional administrators. Very many  
9 correctional administrators don't like researchers.  
10 But I think there's existing avenues, professional  
11 organizations, the wardens organizations, et cetera,  
12 that can create these dialogues. So welcoming research  
13 and also welcoming policymakers to the table I think is  
14 one way.

15 I feel that much of the research is there. There  
16 has been a mountain -- not a mountain, there's been a

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
17 quite large hill of research on sexual assault, there's  
18 been litigation on sexual assault. It's only now under  
19 the PREA federal legislation that these players are  
20 coming together. So that would be my suggestion,  
21 promote collaboration across these different folks.

22 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, I think your dialogue idea is  
23 terrific, and would also encourage you to start going  
24 to the National Conference of State Legislators, that  
25 would be a good avenue as well.

211

1 DR. OWEN: I talked to them about women offenders  
2 last year in Salt Lake City.

3 MS. ROBINSON: Excellent. That's great.

4 Let me also ask you, wearing your social science  
5 hat, can we look at other countries across the world  
6 and draw some lessons from them either as to  
7 substantive approaches they've used or on these access  
8 issues?

9 DR. OWEN: Again, the short answer is yes. The  
10 U. S. incarcerates, as you all know, many more people  
11 than other western industrialized countries. But I  
12 think the sad fact is that most of those countries are  
13 now turning to the United States.

14 The fad on Supermax prisons is certainly something  
15 that we've created and exported in this state and in  
16 this country. The move toward more criminalization and  
17 drug offenses is happening in many countries in Europe  
18 that previously decriminalized those types of  
19 activities.

20 So unfortunately, the United States seems to be

21 influencing those policies that I might see as more  
22 rational policies. But I think that's another issue of  
23 dialogue. The inter -- a very important international  
24 conference on criminology is going to be held in  
25 Philadelphia in August, and I urge --

212

1 MS. ROBINSON: I'm glad to know you're coming  
2 since PENN is putting that on.

3 DR. OWEN: Oh, yes.

4 Yes, I think that there's ways to solve some of  
5 the language problems being a product of American  
6 education. I'm not bilingual in any language, but  
7 through international conferences and through the power  
8 of the Web, I know that many of the women researchers  
9 are trying to come together on some of these issues.  
10 So yes, I believe there's a place for international  
11 dialogue as well.

12 MR. ELSNER: Can I just say very quickly here and  
13 steal a story. The U.S. State Department issues its  
14 Human Rights Report every year, and it covers 196  
15 countries this year. One of the criteria that we judge  
16 other countries on is their prison system. The state  
17 Department produces a report from the prison system of  
18 every single country in the world except our own. And  
19 I was just leafing through it. This was last year's  
20 version. I got to the chapter on Iceland, and I saw  
21 that they had 110 inmates. So we do a report on the  
22 Icelandic prison system and their 110 inmates. We  
23 don't do one on the U.S. prison system with its 2.2

24 transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
million inmates.

25 I wrote a little off pendulum in the Christian

213

1 Science Monitors pointing out this absurdity, and I got  
2 an irate e-mail from an official at the U. S. Embassy,  
3 Ray Clavich (Phonetic) saying, "How dare you cast  
4 dispersions on the very kind of work that I did in  
5 writing -- (Inaudible - laughter from panel and  
6 audience) -- prison system."

7 MS. ROBINSON: That is a great jail.

8 DR. OWEN: And I'd just like to add that offend  
9 pieces are a very productive way for litigators as well  
10 as researchers and investigative reporters to reach a  
11 larger audience. These 500 polished words often have a  
12 bigger impact than our 500 not so polished pages in our  
13 books and in our reports.

14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. Bringing  
15 journal articles --

16 MS. ROBINSON: And that will be actually the  
17 last question that I have for all three of you is, are  
18 there other avenue news of public education that we  
19 really haven't addressed here that could also be  
20 effective in getting this broader word out that we  
21 really have not addressed today?

22 MS. WINTER: Well, there are, it seems to me,  
23 state legislative hearings are a very valuable  
24 possibility. There's all kinds of meaningful  
25 legislation that could be proposed and debated in state

1 legislature. It would give an opportunity for there to  
2 be some real public debate on these issues, and I think  
3 that's a forum that I'm hoping that we will see more.

4 MR. ELSNER: I would say very briefly, Town Hall  
5 meetings involving the families of people who are  
6 incarcerated is a very, very powerful tool. Because  
7 then you do see some of the human hardships that the  
8 prison system puts not only on the inmates but also on  
9 their families. And the Victims Rights Movement has  
10 really utilized this tool very, very powerfully.

11 One example is the outrageous phone charges which  
12 various Departments of Corrections impose on inmates  
13 who have to call collect to their families. They're  
14 often charged \$3.50 connection fees, and then they can  
15 be charged up to a dollar a minute for these calls.  
16 You know, that to me is outrageously unjust because  
17 it's punishing not only -- not only the inmates but  
18 their families, often poor people. But it's also  
19 discouraging inmates from staying in touch with their  
20 families.

21 We know that one of the ways to reduce recidivism  
22 is by having inmates stay in touch with their families.  
23 So I think involving -- I think there's a vast army of  
24 people out there who have no voice, and I think it  
25 would be extremely powerful to give them a voice and

1 to have some of these people testify to you.

2 Another very small example, charging people

3 co-pays inside the prison system to see a nurse or a  
4 doctor. Again, it sounds great, you know, politicians  
5 stand up and say, "You have to pay to see a doctor; why  
6 should they get health care scott free?" Well, of  
7 course, they have no income. So charging them \$9 to  
8 see a nurse -- you know, what are they going to do?  
9 They're not going to see a nurse. They're just going  
10 to get sicker and then they're going to infect other  
11 people and they're going wind up in the emergency room.  
12 If you explain that to people, get beyond the slogan  
13 and say, "Look, this, not only is it not just, but it's  
14 stupid, it's dumb," then I think the people can  
15 understand it.

16 MS. WINTER: There is something else I think very  
17 important that could happen to give prisoners more of a  
18 voice. There's very, very -- actually, very little  
19 litigation -- contrary to popular opinion -- by  
20 prisoners, serious litigation about prison abuse that  
21 ever gets anywhere because they are not represented and  
22 they can't be represented. The prison  
23 litigation -- so-called Prison Litigation Reform Act  
24 has been a huge weapon for silencing prisoners. The  
25 provisions that mean that attorneys can't get the

216

1 standard statutory attorneys' fees as prevailing  
2 parties, so they can't get civil rights attorneys to  
3 represent them. The provisions that make decrees  
4 terminate after two years after massive effort's gone  
5 into obtaining the decree. The provision that bars the

6 transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
7 door to so many prisoners like Garrett Cunningham  
8 because it requires them to exhaust bisontine  
9 administrative remedies that not even the best lawyer  
10 could figure out how in the world to do this within  
11 five days, and then exhaust stage II and the stage III  
12 appeals.

13 Prisoners can't get lawyers, partly because of  
14 the PLRA, and they can't litigate themselves -- these  
15 cases themselves in part of the -- because of PLRA.  
16 And simply rescinding some of the more draconian issues  
17 for prisoners who are not complaining about crunchy  
18 peanut butter but who are complaining about, you know,  
19 desperate to have relief from -- medical relief from  
20 rape, from violence and abuse. That could make a  
21 significant difference in having prisoners' voices be  
22 heard.

23 DR. OWEN: I only have two very quick suggestions.  
24 One is inviting a range of actors to the table, a  
25 range of folks who are concerned. We talked about  
families. There are advocacy groups in the community,

217

1 there are union groups. I think we need to realize  
2 that safety and abuse in American's prisons is a  
3 multifaceted issue and that everyone has their story.

4 There seems to be little bit of evidence that  
5 the human brain is hard wired to hear stories. So I  
6 think by bringing folks together to talk at the same  
7 table -- which is a strategy that governments use all  
8 the time.

9 I also think that as a final word on research, we

10 need to think about how prison research can be mutually  
11 beneficial to all the parties involved, to the system,  
12 to the theoretical and applied field of criminology  
13 into the community as well because so often  
14 researchers, advocates, union members, politicians, the  
15 media, prisoners, prison managers have been cast as  
16 oppositional groups. And I think the fact is, and I  
17 believe this very firmly, that most prison  
18 managers -- just as Margaret described, many prison  
19 managers are indeed fair-minded and are not happy about  
20 many of the things that go on in their systems.

21 So I think recognizing that there's multiple  
22 members of the prison community is one way to decrease  
23 abuse and danger in our prisons.

24 MS. WINTER: I wanted to add a little story to  
25 what Barbara just said.

218

1 MS. ROBINSON: Very quickly.

2 MS. WINTER: A dozen years ago when I started  
3 doing prison litigation, I was visiting the lead  
4 plaintiff, and a very extraordinary-looking prison  
5 guard, a woman at least six foot four weighing about  
6 280 or 300 pounds came by with a terrible scowl on her  
7 face, and when she passed I said to my client, "Boy, I  
8 bet she's a real piece of work."

9 And he said, "Actually, she is a ray of light in a  
10 dark place." And I kept that with me over -- and I  
11 keep it with me 12 years later, not to stereotype  
12 people, to try not to think that it's us and them, but

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
13 to realize that riches are possible to build, but  
14 there's dialogue and that there are people who are on  
15 the other side, so to speak, who are very eager to  
16 enter into a dialogue and worth trying to find a way  
17 into it.

18 MS. ROBINSON: A good word to end that on. Thank  
19 you.

20 MR. GREEN: As we began our year-long study, I'd  
21 like to ask each of you to give us your views about the  
22 prevalence of abuses and serious safety failures in  
23 American prisons and jails. And what are the most  
24 serious forms it takes in addition to the inadequate  
25 medical care that Mr. Elsner referred to earlier? So

219

1 if each of you would -- in addition to the medical  
2 care, what you think the most serious issue is. We'd  
3 like to hear that. I don't know if you want to add to  
4 that at this point.

5 MR. ELSNER: I'll go last this time, if that's  
6 okay.

7 MR. GREEN: Margaret, what would you say?

8 MS. WINTER: I think Supermax prisons are a huge  
9 issue. They are systems that are practically designed  
10 or guaranteed to drive people mad, insane,  
11 irretrievably insane. There have been a lot of studies  
12 on this. It's been known for at least a hundred years,  
13 the psychiatric effects that that kind of isolation and  
14 deprivation from all human contact and stimulation has  
15 on the human psyche, and there's more and more of them,  
16 they're proliferating. They are intrinsically cruel

17 and create extreme psychosis, and I think that's a  
18 major issue we need to focus.

19 MR. GREEN: Dr. Owen --

20 DR. OWEN: I think it is an area that we need  
21 to expand our definition of safety and abuse. I think  
22 we need to come to some understanding of the obvious  
23 and the subtle harms that prisons produce to human  
24 beings, both human beings who work there as well as  
25 human beings incarcerated there.

220

1 I think in terms of women, we need to look at the  
2 range of the facts that imprisonment has on women and  
3 their children. And as Alan pointed out, almost  
4 everyone in America's prisons comes home, and we need  
5 to think about the damage that incarceration --  
6 particularly with the longer sentences, is introducing  
7 on the folks who will come back to our communities.

8 MR. ELSNER: Well, if I could just reiterate what  
9 I said earlier. I believe the single biggest threat,  
10 the biggest abuse is the health issue, the management  
11 of infectious disease. Prisons are an incubator of  
12 diseases. It's not just that we're dealing with a very  
13 unhealthy population, which we are, but that the  
14 conditions within prisons, the poor ventilation, people  
15 crowded together, encourages the use of tattoos, for  
16 instance, using shared needles for tattooing and also  
17 for drugs hastens the spread of diseases like Hepatitis  
18 and HIV, obviously.

19 I think a lot of people live in fear that the drug

transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
20 resistant form of tuberculosis will eventually take  
21 root in our prison of system, which is going to cost  
22 billions and billions of dollars to root out if it ever  
23 does.

24 So I think that the abuse cases in a way  
25 are -- don't take this the wrong way -- sexier, from

221

1 a journalistic point of view than the silent killers  
2 which are spreading through the system. But I think  
3 that if you were to be presumptuous enough to offer you  
4 some advice to you, I would concentrate a lot on this  
5 health and hygiene aspect of things.

6 MR. GREEN: We have a few minutes left, and  
7 so -- I don't know if we have questions from members of  
8 the Commission.

9 MR. GILLIGAN: I'm wondering if we aren't  
10 proceeding on a basis of mistaken assumption, which is  
11 that the purpose of American prisons is to decrease the  
12 rate of crime and violence. If that were the purpose,  
13 then what we're doing, of course, is irrational,  
14 but what if we make the opposite assumption and say  
15 that pretty much the purpose is really to engage in  
16 a maximal amount of revenge on the part of the public  
17 regardless of whether that increases the rate of crime  
18 and violence, or even more, that perhaps the purpose is  
19 to -- how can I put it -- if the purpose were to  
20 increase the rate of crime and violence to the highest  
21 possible level in our society, one might have to say  
22 that we could hardly have designed a more effective  
23 system.

24           What I wanted to ask you is, if that's the purpose  
25 then, is there anything we've overlooked? Is there

222

1           anything where we could add to make prisons more  
2 criminogenic and more violence provoking? Can you  
3 think of anything we could do that we're not already  
4 doing that might make them even worse from the  
5 standpoint of preventing violence?

6           MR. ELSNER: (Laughter - undistinguishable) --  
7 which even I as a journalist find it hard to credit.

8           You know, I think we're doing a pretty good job  
9 from your point of view. I had -- a number of years  
10 ago I was doing a talk in Albany, New York, and this  
11 guy came up to me and said he was in the federal prison  
12 system and he had a little story:

13           He had Ph.D., and he'd been incarcerated for a  
14 white collar crime. He said when he got there,  
15 they said, "You've got to take the GED."

16           He said, "I already have a Ph.D., I don't need the  
17 GED."

18           He said, "No, everyone's got to take the GED."

19           So he goes into this room and he sits down and  
20 starts picking boxes, and there are these guys on  
21 either side of him and when he picks box A, they all  
22 picked box A, and when he picks box D, they all  
23 pick -- and as it goes up, he sees this graph on the  
24 wall, and it's "GED pass rate" in this particular  
25 prison.

1           So I think, you know, we could do a much better  
2 job if we cut out even the meager education and  
3 vocational side training that we had there and just  
4 warehouse them all all day doing nothing, that would  
5 answer your question.

6           DR. OWEN: I understand the spirit in which you  
7 raise this issue, but my answer is, for so many years  
8 there was a movement towards truth in sentencing. I  
9 think we should have truth in incarceration, and prison  
10 budgets, correctional budgets need to be much more  
11 transparent than they are. I don't think most people  
12 realize exactly how much money is being spent and what  
13 little bang folks are getting for their buck.

14           I was at Records University last week attending  
15 a sentencing conference and some earnest young graduate  
16 student -- one of which I was once -- has done a pretty  
17 good thesis -- a dissertation on the optimal number of  
18 months and doing all kinds of fancy math and all kinds  
19 of figures. She came up with this figure of 30 months  
20 seems to be the optimal prison length before you  
21 actually start increasing crime rates. And again, it's  
22 a lot of that funny math that dissertation people do,  
23 funny statistics. But I think her point is worth  
24 emphasizing.

25           We actually know the point at which this harm is

1           super-sized -- to use a current colloquialism -- and I

2 think we need to start thinking about how we can  
3 satisfy -- and I agree with you, doctor -- that we do  
4 have a strong revenge component driving our corrections  
5 systems. We need to do some very specific calculations  
6 in thinking about exactly what are we doing, what are  
7 we getting; how much does it cost, and how can we do it  
8 differently.

9 MS. WINTER: Well, I think we all know -- it's no  
10 mystery here, there have been plenty of studies -- what  
11 it is that makes recidivism go down, education, drug  
12 treatment, vocational ed. We know it. There have been  
13 studies. There's no question about it. And with the  
14 amount that it costs to incarcerate someone in a high  
15 security prison, I think it's also been proven many  
16 times that they could be sent to Harvard for the same  
17 price.

18 In answer to your specific question, I was going  
19 to say, well, we could send them out naked in pink  
20 underwear, but, oh, whoops, no, they did that  
21 yesterday. I saw it on the news. It's very hard to  
22 think of anything that hasn't already been done that  
23 would make the situation worse, but the odd thing is  
24 we do know what would make it better. Drug treatment,  
25 my God, seems such a huge proportion. I don't want to

225

1 say here, but I want to say 40 percent of people in  
2 prison it's strictly because of drug -- you know,  
3 addiction problems, it's addiction. And that people go  
4 into prison, they either are deprived of drugs while  
5 there and get them the minute they get out because

6 they've had no serious treatment or they are  
7 plentifully supplied within because it's permeable for  
8 drugs.

9 So since we know what the answers are and since  
10 the resources are clearly there, all they have to be is  
11 reallocated. That seems to me one positive thing. No  
12 huge studies need to be done to prove what would make  
13 the situation better and what makes it worse and worse.

14 DR. OWEN: But I would also caution the  
15 Commission, in thinking about those types of  
16 approaches, to understand the experience of programs in  
17 prisons. Just as Margaret was talking about, very  
18 often these oversight agencies become kind of neutered  
19 over time. Very often prison programs are just in fact  
20 paper programs. They say they have drug treatment,  
21 they say they have vocational training, they say they  
22 have forms of mental health, and without really paying  
23 for quality programs, without really putting the  
24 resources, very often you create treatment failures in  
25 which the individual's blamed, when in fact there was

226

1 no viable treatment delivered at all.

2 MR. GIBBONS: No more questions?

3 Well, with that, this is the end of this  
4 afternoon's session. I want to thank everybody who has  
5 participated, attended today, the witnesses, the press,  
6 and the spectators for joining us.

7 We'll begin tomorrow morning at 9:15. I'd ask for  
8 all of the commissioners to remain in their seats for a

9 transcript of first hearing\_part 2.TXT  
10 few minutes so our staff can review some logistical  
11 details with us.

12 Thank you all.

13 (The hearing was adjourned at 4:36 p.m.)  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25